UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION.

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-1789 (CGM)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING TRUSTEE'S THIRTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS MOTION TO AFFIRM THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS DETERMINATIONS AND OVERRULE OBJECTIONS THAT APPEAR TO RAISE FACTUAL ISSUES

Upon consideration of the motion (the "Motion") [Docket No. __], by Irving H. Picard, trustee ("Trustee") for the substantively consolidated liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC ("BLMIS") and the chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff ("Madoff") (collectively, "Debtor"), in the above-captioned SIPA¹ liquidation proceeding seeking to have the Court affirm his claims determinations and overrule the related objections that appear to raise customer-specific factual issues (the "Objections"); and the net winner Claims to be disallowed and Objections to be overruled are identified in Exhibit A to the Declaration of Vineet Sehgal in Support of the Motion (the "Sehgal Declaration"), [Docket No. __]; and the net loser Claims determinations to be affirmed and Objections to be overruled are identified in Exhibit B to the

¹ All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed in the Motion.

Sehgal Declaration; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been given and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Motion as set forth herein is in the best interests of the Debtor, its estate, creditors, and all parties in interest; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Motion is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that the Claims listed on Exhibit A hereto are disallowed; and it is further ORDERED that the Trustee's Claims determinations regarding the Claims listed on Exhibits A and B hereto are affirmed; and it is further

ORDERED that the Objections listed on Exhibits A and B hereto are overruled; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

IN RE: BLMIS. CASE NO: 08-01789 (CGM)

EXHIBIT A – CLAIMS AND OBJECTIONS

Objecting Party	Claim Number	Objection To Determination Docket Number	Counsel	Account Name	Account Number
Joanne & Glenn Goldstein	007455, 008475	2524	Pro Se Filing	Glenn Stuart Goldstein & Joanne T Goldstein J/T WROS	1G0263
Leonard Forrest Rev Trust	000299	700, 721	Phillips Nizer LLP	Leonard Forrest Rev Trust Dtd 1/29/99 Leonard Forrest	1ZA013
Marjorie Forrest Rev Trust; Dtd 1/29/99 Marjorie Forrest; Leonard Forrest Trustee	000520	1064	Phillips Nizer LLP	Marjorie Forrest Rev Trust Dtd 1/29/99 Marjorie Forrest	1ZB113
Marvin J Plateis or Reoberta Plateis J/T WROS	000319	691	Phillips Nizer LLP	Marvin J Plateis Or Roberta Plateis J/T WROS	1ZA210
Wilansky Family Fund: Steven David And Barry Wilansky	000509	1874	Phillips Nizer LLP	Wilansky Family Fund C/O Steven Wilansky	1ZA330

IN RE: BLMIS. CASE NO: 08-01789 (CGM)

EXHIBIT B – CLAIMS AND OBJECTIONS

Objecting Party	Claim Number	Objection To Determination Docket Number	Counsel	Account Name	Account Number
C & L Brodsky Family Trust Dated 5/17/05	004250, 100127	663	Pro Se Filing	Lewis C Brodsky & Cathy Brodsky Tstees, C & L	1ZA686
John Cohlan IV	001953, 009130	296	Pro Se Filing	John Cohlan	1C1323